# Review of ro-c-osinac\_osiwac-5-67p-shape-v1.0



## Editorial comments

aareadme.txt catalog data document index voldesc.cat

All directory and file names are lower case, while the PDS standard requires them to be upper case.

There is, at this point, only one source of shape models - the 3-D Modeling group of the OSIRIS science team.

How about the MOC shape models?

#### SPC vs. MSPCD

aareadme.txt:

The modeling group has produced two different models using stereophotoclinometry techniques, [...]

spc\_model\_info.asc:

The shape models [...] were developed [...] from two different techniques: Stereophotoclinometry (SPC) and Multi-resolution photoclinometry by deformation (MSPCD). Brief description of methods

Besides just citing the relevant publications, it would be helpful to have a brief description of both techniques directly available in the data set:

- How do stereophotoclinometry techniques work in general?
- How does the applied SPC technique work in particular?
- How does the applied MSPCD technique work in particular?

## Consistency between plate shape models and provided rendered images

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_001m\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Orthographic projection

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_001m\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

- Camera parameters shall be provided.
- Surface model and illumination should be provided.

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_760k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

H.

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_191k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

ы.

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_098k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_048k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_024k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_012k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

#### res\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_006k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

#### $\rightarrow$ The images are consistent with the plate shape models.

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_786k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_195k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_096k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

н.

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_047k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_024k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_012k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### res\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_006k\_cart.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 7.5 km FOV 50°

#### $\rightarrow$ The images are consistent with the plate shape models.

#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_xm.png



#### Image from data set



#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_xm.png





#### Image from data set

## Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 42.5°

#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_xp.png





#### Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 42.5°

#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_ym.png





#### Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40°

#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_yp.png





#### Image from data set

#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_zm.png





#### Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40°

#### view\_cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_391k\_cart\_zp.png



#### Image from data set



#### $\rightarrow$ The images are consistent with the plate shape models.
#### view\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart\_xm.png



# Image from data set



Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40° н,

#### view\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart\_xp.png





# Image from data set

# Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40°

#### view\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart\_ym.png





# Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40° a.

#### view\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart\_yp.png





## Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40°

#### view\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart\_zm.png





# Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40°

#### view\_cg\_spc\_shap2\_399k\_cart\_zp.png





# Image from data set

Rendered Perspective projection Distance 10 km FOV 40° a.

# $\rightarrow$ The images are consistent with the plate shape models.

# Consistency between plate shape models and DSKs



# $cg_mspcd_shap2_048k_cart_dsk.bds$



# $\rightarrow\,$ The DSKs are consistent with the plate shape models (point check).

# cg\_spc\_shap2\_047k\_cart.wrl



# $\tt cg\_spc\_shap2\_047k\_cart\_dsk.bds$



SPC plate models vs. DSKs

 $\rightarrow$  The DSKs are consistent with the plate shape models (point check).

Consistency between the shape models from the two techniques and the MOC shape model



# cg\_spc\_shap2\_096k\_cart.wrl



# CSHP\_DV\_116\_01\_\_\_\_00184.ROS



# cg\_spc\_shap2\_096k\_cart.wrl



# cg\_spc\_shap2\_096k\_cart.wrl



Rotated by  $10^\circ$ 

# CSHP\_DV\_116\_01\_\_\_\_00184.ROS



# cg\_spc\_shap2\_096k\_cart.wrl



Rotated by  $10^\circ$ 

### cg\_spc\_shap2\_096k\_cart.wrl



Rotated by  $10^{\circ}$  and tranlated by -200 m in x and -150 m in y

# CSHP\_DV\_116\_01\_\_\_\_00184.ROS



 $\rightarrow$  The frame used for the shape model is off from the MOC frame (to which the Cheops frame is equivalent by definition) by 10° rotation and 250 m translation.



# CSHP\_DV\_116\_01\_\_\_\_00184.ROS





# cg\_mspcd\_shap2\_098k\_cart.wrl

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

Rotated by 0.5° around x-, y-, and z-axis

# CSHP\_DV\_116\_01\_\_\_\_00184.ROS

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow\,$  The frame used for the shape model is off from the MOC frame (to which the Cheops frame is equivalent by definition) by  $\sim\!1^\circ$  rotation

Because the shape models from both techniques are not provided in the Cheops frame, a quantitative analysis of the shapes themselves is pending.

# Efficiency of the DSKs

	DSK created by			
# plates	MSPCD	SPC	ADCS	ROVIZ
${\sim}50{ m k}$	45 s	44 s		37 s
$\sim$ 100 k	49 s	46 s	61 s	
$\sim$ 200 k	55 s			52 s

Quality of DSK auxiliary information

 $\rightarrow$  For the DSKs from both techniques, the efficiency is comparable to DSKs created by other tools.

# Summary

# Editorial comments

• Lower case filenames

1

- No mentioning of MOC shape models
- Very sparse description of techniques
- 2 Consistency between plate models and images
- For the rendered images, no camera parameters, surface model, or illumination information is provided.
- $\checkmark$  For both techniques, all resolutions, and all viewing directions, the provided rendered imgages are consistent with the respective plate shape models.

/ For both techniques, the DSKs are consistent with the plate shape models.

10

 $\times\,$  For both techniques, the shape models are not provided in the Cheops frame.

• Because of that, a quantitative analysis of the shapes themselves is pending.

5 Efficiency of the DSKs

## $\sqrt{}$ For the DSKs from both techniques, the efficiency is comparable to DSKs created by other tools.

10